Secrt. II-27—45,001—21-10-27.

L.S.G. (P.H.) (1929) Department.

M/s SERIES.

G.O. No. 514

DATED 21—2—29.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Legislative Council question.

Puttur taluk board--reduction of compassionate grant--recorded

1330

Starred Question

Council Office
14-1-29

Mr. A.B. Shetty

The Local Self-Govt: Dept.

---

*1737. Will the hon. the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state--

(a) whether provincialization of Local Fund Medical institutions was made subject to the condition that out of the savings accruing as a result of the transfer, Local Boards should open and maintain within their areas one or more additional dispensaries;

(b) whether the Government asked the Taluk Board of Puttur in September 1927 if the Board was prepared to finance from the savings of about Rs.4721 accruing to it as a result of the transfer of the hospital at Puttur to the Government, ~~the provision and maintenance of~~ one or more additional allopathic dispensaries within its area;

(c) whether accordingly the Board resolved to utilise the savings in opening two regular Allopathic dispensaries, one at Sampaje and another at Panja and gave intimation of this to the Government in October 1927;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Government did not send any communication to the Board objecting to the above proposal and that the Board opened dispensaries at the above two places in July 1928;

(e). whether subsequently in August 1928 the Government asked the Taluk Board not to give effect to any new scheme for the extension of medical relief from Taluk Board Funds;

(f) whether the Government have since passed orders reducing the compassionate grant given to the Puttur Taluk Board from Rs.10550 to Rs.5020 on the ground that the taking over of the Puttur Hospital will give relief to that extent to the Board;

(g) whether the Government are aware of the difficulties in the way of closing the dispensaries at Sampaje and Panja and of the hardship that will be caused thereby to the people, and

(h) whether the Government have considered the representation made on this matter by the taluk board through its president and whether they have passed any orders thereon?

V.R.

Council Office.
14/1/29.

L.S.G. (P.H.) Deptt.

Starred Question.
The Local Self-Govt. Department.

Draft Answer.

*1737. Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education and Local Self-Government be pleased to state:--

(a) whether provincialization of Local Fund Medical institutions was made subject to the condition that out of the savings accruing as a result of the transfer, Local Boards should open and maintain within their areas one or more additional dispensaries;

(a) to (f). Yes.

(b) whether the Government asked the Taluk Board of Puttur in September 1927 if the Board was prepared to Finance from the savings of about Rs. 4,721 accruing to it as a result of the transfer of the hospital at Puttur to the Government, one or more additional allopathic dispensaries within its area;

(c) whether accordingly the Board resolved to utilise the savings in opening two regular Allopathic dispensaries, one at Sampaje and another at Panja andgave intimation of this to the Government in October 1927;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Government did not send any communication to the Board objecting to the above proposal and that the Board opened dispensaries at the above two places in July 1928;

(e) whether subsequently in August 1928 the Government asked the Taluk Board not to give effect to any new scheme for the extension of medical relief from

from Taluk Board Funds;

(f) whether the Government have since passed orders reducing the compassionate grant given to the Puttur Taluk Board from Rs. 10,550 to Rs. 5,020 on the ground that the taking over of the Puttur Hospital will give relief to that extent to the Board; and

(S)

(g) whether the Government have considered the representation made on this matter by the taluk board through its president and whether they have passed any orders thereon?

(g) The Board's representation is under consideration.

23.1.29

23.1.29

To the L.C.Office.

Answered on 1/2/29 1330

Legislative Council Question No. 1737 (starred).

Mr. A. B. Shetty.

Will the Hon'ble the Minister for Education and Local Self Government be pleased to state:--

(a) whether provincialisation of Local Fund Medical institutions was made subject to the condition that out of the -- savings accruing as a result of the transfer, Local Boards should open and maintain within their areas one or more additional dispensaries;

(b) whether the Government asked the Taluk Board of Puttur in September 1927 if the Board was prepared to Finance from the savings of about Rs. 4,721 accruing to it as a resultof the transfer of the hospital at Puttur to the Government, one or more additional allopathic dispensaries within its area;

(c) whether accordingly the Board resolved to utilise the savings in opening two regular Allopathic dispensaries, one at Sampaje and another at Panja and gave intimation of this to the Government in October 1927;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Government did not send any communication to the Board objecting to the above proposal and that the Board opened dispensaries at the above two places in July 1928;

(e) whether subsequently in August 1928 the Government asked the Taluk Board not to give effect to any new scheme for the extension of medical relief from Taluk Board Funds;

(f) whether the Government have since passed orders reducing the compassionate grant given to the Puttur Taluk Board from Rs. 10,550 to Rs, 5,020 on the ground that the taking over of the Puttur Hospital will give relief to that extent to the Board; and

(g) whether the Government have considered therepresentation made on this matter by the taluk board through its president and whether they have passed any orders thereon?

ANSWER:

5

LOCAL SELF GOVERNMENT DEPARTMENT.

A N S W E R.

(a) to (f). Yes.

(g) The Board's representation is under consideration.

Fort St.George,)
) Hilton Brown,
Dated the 28th January 1929) Secretary to Government.

To the Legislative Council Office.

(The above answer has been approved by
The Hon'ble Mr.S.Muthiah Mudaliyar
a n d
The Hon'ble Dr. P. Subbaroyan).

F o r w a r d e d.

(By Order)

Superintendent.

G.V.K.28-1-29.

[1st February 1929

Provincialization of local fund medical institutions.

*1330 Q.—Mr. A. B. SHETTY: Will the hon. the Minister for Public Health be pleased to state—

(a) whether provincialization of local fund medical institutions was made subject to the condition that out of the savings accruing as a result of the transfer, local boards should open and maintain within their areas one or more additional dispensaries;

(b) whether the Government asked the Taluk Board of Puttur in September 1927 if the Board was prepared to finance from the savings of about Rs. 4,721 accruing to it as a result of the transfer of the hospital at Puttur to the Government, one or more additional allopathic dispensaries within its area;

(c) whether accordingly the Board resolved to utilize the savings in opening two regular allopathic dispensaries, one at Sampaje and another at Panja and gave intimation of this to the Government in October 1927;

(d) whether it is a fact that the Government did not send any communication to the Board objecting to the above proposal and that the Board opened dispensaries at the above two places in July 1928;

(e) whether subsequently in August 1928 the Government asked the Taluk Board not to give effect to any new scheme for the extension of medical relief from Taluk Board Funds;

(f) whether the Government have since passed orders reducing the compassionate grant given to the Puttur Taluk Board from Rs. 10,550 to Rs. 5,020 on the ground that the taking over of the Puttur hospital will give relief to that extent to the Board; and

(g) whether the Government have considered the representation made on this matter by the Taluk Board through its President and whether they have passed any orders thereon?

A.—(a) to (f) Yes.

(g) The Board's representation is under consideration.

Law Dept. III-8—3,000—3-12-27.

QUESTION.—No. 1737 for the next meeting of the Legislative Council ~~to be held on the~~ ~~1927~~.

2340
21/1/29

SUBMITTED that two copies of this Question may be forwarded to the Local Self-Govt Department.

The Question has been admitted.

U.O. No. , *dated*

To

The Local Self Govt Department.

The answer may go.
Why were not these facts referred to in the file about Compensatory grants?
25.1.29

SECRETARY TO GOVT. 26 JAN 1929 L.S.G. DEPT.

Entries Govt Memo 78 on 30/1 for answering

26/1

20/21

2

Please see printed question
and answer sup 5 Cf.
No action is necessary.
The papers in the ~~case~~ Leg. 1737

9/2/29

DDG/MIS No 5148H 21.2.29

Recorded
11.2.29

9.2.29